20406 DO

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD1

DECISION

Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 159X)

SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY
--ABANDONMENT EXEMPTION-SACRAMENTO AND EL DORADO COUNTIES, CA

Decided: August 26, 1996

Nem File II. 8 STB Decision

By decision and notice of interim trail use or abandonment (NITU) served July 27, 1995, the Interstate Commerce Commission imposed a 90-day period expiring October 31, 1995, for Sacramento-Placerville Transportation Corridor Joint Powers Authority (JPA) to negotiate an interim trail use/rail banking agreement with Southern Pacific Transportation Company (SPT) for 37.14-miles of its Placerville Branch from milepost 108.00, near the Nimbus rail station, to milepost 145.14, near the Diamond Springs rail station, in Sacramento and El Dorado Counties, CA.

By decisions served October 17, 1995, April 29, 1996, and June 25, 1996, respectively, JPA was granted extensions of the trail use negotiation period, and the third extension is scheduled to expire on August 31, 1996.

JPA is negotiating to acquire SPT's entire Placerville Branch from milepost 94.76, at Brighton, to milepost 147.6, at Apex. JPA is to acquire the following segments: (1) the 37.14-mile segment at issue here; (2) the 2.46-mile segment between milepost 145.14 near Diamond Springs and milepost 147.6 near Apex, authorized for interim trail use/railbanking in Southern Pacific Transportation Company--Abandonment Exemption--in El Dorado County, CA, Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 128X) (ICC served Oct. 26, 1995); and (3) an adjoining 13.24-mile segment between milepost 94.76, at Brighton, and milepost 108.00, at Nimbus, which has not been the subject of Board action.

By joint motion filed August 19, 1996, counsel for JPA and SPT request a fourth extension of the negotiation period, through October 4, 1996. Counsel advise that, while all substantial issues involving the subject 37.14-mile section have been resolved, details remain to be worked out between the parties in connection with the section of the line (under current freight

The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-88, 109 Stat. 803 (ICCTA), which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and transferred certain functions and proceedings to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). Section 204(b)(1) of the ICCTA provides, in general, that proceedings pending before the ICC on the effective date of that legislation shall be decided under the law in effect prior to January 1, 1996, insofar as they involve functions retained by the ICCTA. This decision relates to a proceeding that was pending with the ICC prior to January 1, 1996, and to functions that are subject to Board jurisdiction pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10903. Therefore, this decision applies the law in effect prior to the ICCTA, and citations are to the former sections of the statute, unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Counsel states that a similar request for extension of the negotiation period has been filed in the Sub-No. 128X proceeding.

Docket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 159X)

rail use) from Brighton to Nimbus. Counsel states that the parties expect to reach a final agreement and close within the next month.

As SPT joins with JPA's extension request, the requested extension will be granted. An extension will promote the establishment of trail use and rail banking consistent with the Trails Act, 16 U.S.C. 1247(d).

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

## It is ordered:

- 1. The request to extend the interim trail use negotiation period is granted.
- 2. The NITU negotiation period is extended through October 4, 1996.
  - 3. This decision is effective on its service date.

By the Board, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams Secretary Ďΰ

SERVICE DATE

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

· JUL 27 1995

DECISION AND NOTICE OF INTERIM TRAIL USE OR ABANDONSENT

Docket No. LB-12 (Sub-No. 159%)

SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY—ABANDONNENT EXEMPTION - SACRAMENTO AND EL DORADO COUNTIES, CA

## Dacided: July 19, 1995

Southern Pecific Transportation Company (SPT) filed a notice of examption on October 13, 1993 under 40 CVR 1152 Subpart Post Present Abandonemia to abandon 37.14 miles of the Piccarville Exampt Abandonemia to abandon 37.14 miles of the Piccarville Examption for allegent 105.00, near the Niebus rail station, to elepost 145.14, near the Discond Springs rail station, in statement of the Discourse of the Statement of Intent to on Discourse 12, 1993, provided no formal supression of intent to sile an offer of financial assistance (OFA) was filed. On November 12, 1993, provided no formal appression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) was filed. On November 22, 1993, Sacramento-Piccarville Transportation Corridor November 22, 1993, Sacramento-Piccarville Transportation Corridor November 22, 1993, Sacramento-Piccarville Transportation Corridor February 14, 1994, subsisted an offer to file an off, and on February 14, 1994, subsisted an offer to 1994, JPA was found financially responsible and its offer home 1994, JPA was found financially responsible and its offer home 1994, JPA was found financially responsible and the offer home 1994, JPA was found financially responsible and the offer home 1994, JPA was found financially responsible and the offer home 1994, JPA filed such a provided that, either party could request the Commission to eat the provided that conditions for the purchase of the line.

The October 20, 1994 decision stated that JPA paret accept or reject the terms set by October 31, 1994. JPA did not accept the terms and by the provisions of the October 20, 1994 decision, the exception became effective. JPA initiated a proceeding in the exception became effective. JPA initiated a proceeding in the Court of Appeals to review the Countains acceptants—Placerville decision satting terms and conditions. Sacromants—Placerville decision satting terms and conditions. Sacromants—Placerville Transportation Countains Terms Authority v. 166, Jth Cir. Transportation Countains and appeals and acceptant acquisition agreement No. 34-70699. As part of the negotiated acquisition agreement discussed below, JPA and AFT contemplate discussed of the appeal.

in a ploading filed July 3, 1995, SPT and JPR jointly move to respon this proceeding and request that a notice of inverie twell use and rail banking (NTTO) he issued for the 37.14-wile line for a period extending through october 31, 1995. SPT and JPR have reached an agreement in principle whereby JTR will security the entire Discountile Remain and in marking the Jee have rescued an agreement in principle energy Jee vill acquire the entire Placerville Branch, and in particular, the acquire the entire Placerville Branch, and in particular, the track described above. Jee intends to use portions of the couried acquired property to entend its light vall eyetem in the sacramento area, and to preserve the remainder of the couried for possible future rail use and other compatible purposes, including lateria trail use messible right paid use and achieve transportation purposes.

EFT has stated that it has not consummeted the chandoment, that it is willing to negotiate for interia trail use, and that it dose not object to the issuence of a NITU. JPR has subsitted a statement of willingness to assue financial responsibility for the trail in compliance with 40 CFR 1153.20. Therefore, lessence the trail in compliance with 40 CFR 1153.20. Therefore, lessence of a NITU, under the National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. of a NITU, under the National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. 1247(d), is appropriate. Interia trail use/ruil banking is 1247(d), is appropriate.

The KITO issued here is for trail use and rail benking. Subsequent use by JTA of the line for continued rail service may raquire Commission spproval or exception suchority.

pocket No. AB-12 (Sub-No. 159%)

event appears to be the parties! long-term plan for the line. While the OFA process under 40 U.S.C. 10905 and 49 CFR 1152.27 is over, the parties may nevertheless negotiate an agreement during the period of the NITU. If no agreement is reached during the pendency of the NITU, and if the NITU is not agreement, SPT may fully abunden the line. Here 49 CFR 1152.29(8)(1).

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the bunes environment or the conservation of energy resources.

## The telegraphic

- 1. This proceeding is responed.
- 7. The notice of emeration served and published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on Newsmber 18, 1993, excepting the ebendement of the above-described line is redified for a pariod empiring ortober 31, 1995 to the extent necessary to implement interia trail use/rail banking.
- 3. If an egressent for interim trail use/rail banking is reached, it must require the trail user to assume, for the term of the agreement, full responsibility for management of, for any liability arising out of the transfer or use of (unless the user is immune from liability, in which case it was only indemity the reilroad against any potential liability), and for the payment of any terms imposed on the right-of-vey.
- 4. If an egreement for interia trail use/wall banking is reached, interia trail use may be implemented. If no agreement is reached, SFT may fully chandon the line.
- 5. Interim trail use/rail banking is subject to the future restoration of rail service and to the user's continuing to seet the financial obligations for the right-of-way.
- 6. If the user intends to terminate trail use, it must easily commission a copy of this decision and notice and request that it be vacated on a specific date.
  - . 7. This decision is effective on its service date.

By the Commission, David H. Ronschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

> Verson k. Williges Secretary

(SEAL)